

2020 Canstruction Juror's Scoring Sheet

Entry: **EXAMPLE**

Circle the number that best represents how the entry performed within the following four categories:

Best Meal				
<i>Entry provides a variety of nutritious, appealing foods.</i>				
Poor 1	2	3	4	Excellent 5

Best Use of Labels				
<i>Entry utilizes labels in a creative, novel, or artistic manner.</i>				
Poor 1	2	3	4	Excellent 5

Structural Ingenuity				
<i>Entry achieves structural goals either in a creative manner or at an extraordinary scale.</i>				
Poor 1	2	3	4	Excellent 5

Juror's Favorite				
<i>Entry is appealing based on juror's personal preferences.</i>				
Poor 1	2	3	4	Excellent 5

Please answer the following questions based on your observation of the structure:

- | | | |
|---|-----|----|
| 1. Are the can labels intact and legible (i.e. labels have not been covered, stripped off, or altered in any way)? | Yes | No |
| 2. Is the structure self supporting (i.e. no tape or other material has been used to achieve structural integrity)? | Yes | No |
| 3. Is the entry pure food and contains no non-food props? | Yes | No |
| 4. Does the entry utilize soda or other seriously non-nutritious food? | Yes | No |
| 5. Is boxed food utilized within the entry? | Yes | No |

Example:

Penalty Assessment Matrix

On Observation Question Number	An Answer of	Results in a Penalty of %	Being Assessed to the score in Category
1	No	20	Best Meal Best Use of Labels Overall - Juror's Favorite Biggest Meal*
2	No	20	Structural Ingenuity Overall - Juror's Favorite
3	No	10	Best Meal Overall - Juror's Favorite Biggest Meal*
4	Yes	10	Best Meal Overall - Juror's Favorite Biggest Meal*
5	Yes	5	Structural Ingenuity Overall - Juror's Favorite
* Biggest Meal is not scored, but is based on volume of food. It is recommended that the penalty be assessed as a percent reduction in volume for this category.			